Determining the Gaussian Modulus and Edge Properties of 2D Materials: From Graphene to Lipid Bilayers
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The dominant deformation behavior of two-dimensional materials (bending) is primarily governed by just two parameters: bending rigidity and the Gaussian modulus. These properties also set the energy scale for various important physical and biological processes such as pore formation, cell fission and generally, any event accompanied by a topological change. Unlike the bending rigidity, the Gaussian modulus is, however, notoriously difficult to evaluate via either experiments or atomistic simulations. In this Letter, recognizing that the Gaussian modulus and edge tension play a nontrivial role in the fluctuations of a 2D material edge, we derive closed-form expressions for edge fluctuations. Combined with atomistic simulations, we use the developed approach to extract the Gaussian modulus and edge tension at finite temperatures for both graphene and various types of lipid bilayers. Our results possibly provide the first reliable estimate of this elusive property at finite temperatures and appear to suggest that earlier estimates must be revised. In particular, we show that, if previously estimated properties are employed, the graphene-free edge will exhibit unstable behavior at room temperature. Remarkably, in the case of graphene, we show that the Gaussian modulus and edge tension even change sign at finite temperatures.
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Two-dimensional (2D) materials [1] with a thickness at the atomistic scale are highly flexible and bend quite easily. This mechanical characteristic, in addition to other physical and chemical attributes, has opened up an entirely new field of research in the sciences with tantalizing applications and chemical attributes, has opened up an entirely new field. This mechanical characteristic, in addition to other physical and chemical attributes, has opened up an entirely new field of research in the sciences with tantalizing applications. Phenomenologically, the elastic energy cost to bend an isotropic 2D material can be well described by [6]

\[
F_b = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_b H^2 + \kappa_G K. \tag{1}
\]

Here, \(\kappa_b\) and \(\kappa_G\) are the bending and Gaussian moduli that, respectively, correspond to the change in energy due to changes in the mean \((H)\) and Gaussian \((K)\) curvatures.

Equation (1) has been extensively used to described the mechanics of both biological and isotropic crystalline membranes [7]. Bending modulus is relatively simple to estimate—be it from atomistic simulations or from experimental methods [8,9]. For example, measurement of thermal fluctuations of 2D materials provides a facile route to estimate the bending modulus [10,11]. The typical bending modulus \((\kappa_b)\) of most 2D materials is small enough compared to the thermal energy scale that they undulate noticeably even at room temperature [11,12]. For an infinitely large membrane, the following result for the fluctuations of the out-of-plane displacement field \((h)\) may be derived based on the linearized version of Eq. (1): \(\langle h^2 \rangle \propto kT/\kappa_b\) [13]. With this expression, either atomistic computation of the fluctuation spectra, or experimental measurements, can be used to estimate the bending modulus [11,13]. In sharp contrast, however, the estimation of the Gaussian modulus is quite difficult. For example, the aforementioned thermal fluctuation spectra result is independent of the Gaussian modulus. This is a consequence of a more general principle—the so-called Gauss-Bonnet theorem [14] which states that the integration of the Gaussian curvature over the surface without an edge is invariant under any deformation that is not involved with topological transformations. To quote Hu et al. [15], this is “both a blessing and a curse.” That is, we can safely ignore the contribution due to the Gaussian curvature in several practical situations unless there is a change in topology. However, for this very reason, the Gaussian modulus is notoriously difficult to measure. To understand this, it is worthwhile to mention some of the physical processes where it does matter: pore formation, structural deformation of a finite ribbon, cellular uptake of macromolecules, and cell fusion and fission are some examples (Fig. 1). However, there are no clear experimental or simulation procedures that can readily use these aforementioned events to estimate this elusive material property. While most of the experimentally measured values of the Gaussian modulus for lipid membranes are reported for monolayers [16–22], there are no experimental or computational estimates of the...
Gaussian modulus for crystalline membranes at nonzero temperatures.

Despite the attendant complexities of the endeavor, numerous attempts have been made to evaluate the Gaussian modulus of biological membranes [15,18,21–23]. Here, we highlight the work of Deserno and co-workers [15,23] who provide a thoughtful review of the subject and propose a strategy to estimate this property for lipid bilayers [24]. Using coarse-grained molecular dynamics (MD), Hu et al. [23] monitored the tendency of a finite size membrane patch to close and form a vesicle in order to reduce the total edge energy. A theoretical result is then used to link this probability to the Gaussian modulus. Despite the pioneering nature of their work by Deserno and co-workers [15,23], several issues pertaining to this approach suggest that another independent estimate is warranted. The theoretical model by Deserno and co-workers [15,23] is a ground-state model (i.e., zero Kelvin) while simulations are performed at finite temperature and accordingly disregard entropic corrections, and thus, there is an inconsistency between their atomistic simulations and the matching theoretical model. The authors carefully choose a small size membrane patches to minimize the effect of thermal fluctuations. Nevertheless, the sensitivity of their results to a finite temperature correction is not immediately obvious. Furthermore, since rather small patches must be used, there is also a likelihood that their estimates are plagued by size effects. Even with a small patch, while surface fluctuations may be minimized, the edge fluctuations may still be large (as we will show in this work). Finally, the size of the patch in simulations is restricted by a range of edge tension and the Gaussian modulus, and thus, in case any of these properties turn out to be beyond these ranges, the correct estimations cannot be obtained by the initially imposed patch size.

In the context of graphene, a couple of key works have recently appeared in the literature. Wei et al. [25], using quantum calculations, estimated the Gaussian modulus at zero Kelvin (~1.52 eV) by comparing the potential energy of graphene for different topological structures. Davini et al. [26] used a rather interesting approach where they derived a continuum model linked with the second-generation reactive empirical bond-order (REBO) interatomic potential [27] to extract the Gaussian modulus at zero Kelvin and find its value to be around ~1.62 eV. These approaches exclude entropic contributions. As we will show, entropic effects make a decisive contribution to the physically relevant finite temperature Gaussian modulus and edge properties. In short, the quest for the true Gaussian modulus still remains an active research topic.

We propose an entirely different approach from the ones in the literature and show that monitoring the thermal fluctuations of the edge of a 2D material provides all the requisite information necessary to determine the elusive Gaussian modulus and the edge tension. Inspired by an earlier work of Gommper and Kroll [28], we derive the necessary theoretical relations and carry out MD simulations to yield, arguably, the first reliable finite temperature estimates of these properties. While our approach predicts values well within the experimental range, they are markedly different from past works. In particular, we show that the currently estimated values for the Gaussian modulus can lead to physical inconsistencies at room temperature—e.g., in the case of graphene, unstable edge behavior will ensue. An additional key outcome of the work is that our relations for the edge fluctuations provide a rather reliable metric to bracket the physically plausible range of these properties.

**Thermal fluctuations of a free edge—theoretical model.**—Consider an open finite 2D elastic membrane, with a smooth and orientable surface $\Omega$, enclosed by a space curve $\partial\Omega$ that represents the edge of the surface. Let $\psi$ and $\phi$ be the areal and lineal energy density, respectively, of the surface and the edge. Up to quadratic order, $\psi$ is simply the integrand of Eq. (1). Then, the total elastic energy can be split into two parts as

$$F = F_b + \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi.$$  \hfill (2)

The edge energy density can be expressed as [29,30] $\phi = \phi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_g (\kappa_n^2 + \kappa_b^2) + \cdots$, where $\phi_0$ is the so-called edge (line) tension. Further, $\kappa_n$, $\kappa_g$ are the normal and geodesic curvatures, respectively, and $\kappa_s$ can be defined as the bending modulus of the edge.

Minimization of the total elastic energy then leads to the ground-state Euler-Lagrange equations [not shown, see Supplemental Material (SM), Section 2, Eqs. (9, 10) [31]] and a rather complicated set of boundary conditions on the free edge [29]

$$\left( L \nabla_s \psi_K - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_s \psi_H - 2H \nabla_s \psi_K \right) \cdot \nu + \phi_{\kappa_n} \kappa_n^2 - (\psi_K \tau_g)' + \phi_{\kappa_n} \kappa_g - \phi_{\kappa_n} \phi_{\kappa_n}'' = 0$$ \hfill (3a)

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi_H + \psi_K \kappa_n + \phi_{\kappa_n} \kappa_g - \phi_{\kappa_n} \kappa_n = 0.$$ \hfill (3b)
and \( \{ h = 0, \nabla_s h \cdot \nu = 0 \} \) for constrained edges. In Eq. (3), all the subscripts denote derivatives and the superscript “\( '' \)”, denotes a second derivative with respect to the arc length of the free edge. Also, \( \mathbf{L} \) is the curvature tensor, \( \nabla_s \) denotes the surface gradient operator and \( \nu \) is the unit vector, normal to the edge curve and tangent to the surface [see SM, Section 2, Eqs. (2, 3) [31]].

As usual, the partition function \( Z \) is defined as \( \int e^{-F[h]/kT} D[h] \) where \( D[h] \) denotes path integration over all possible functions, \( h(\mathbf{x}) \), that satisfy the free boundary conditions in Eq. (3) or the constrained edge conditions. While the statistical mechanics analysis of thermal fluctuations is relatively simple for an infinitely large elastic sheet, the path integral is difficult to evaluate in the present case due to the rather complicated boundary conditions at the edge. Therefore, it is worthwhile to briefly touch upon the infinite sheet case (i.e., periodic boundary conditions) to connect with the typical practice in the literature. In that scenario, the boundary conditions at the edge vanish and the displacement correlation in terms of the Fourier vector \( \mathbf{q} \) can be calculated analytically to be \( \langle h(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}') \rangle = \sum_q (kT/4\pi^2) \kappa_b |q|^4 \). This expression has traditionally been used to extract the bending stiffness of elastic membranes from either experiments or molecular dynamics simulations [10,11,40]. In this Letter, we will derive the displacement correlation function for a free edge at a finite temperature and show that both the Gaussian modulus and edge tension can be obtained from the derived result [41]. In what follows, we choose a simplified geometry to carry out our analysis. In principle, we can choose any geometry and the main consideration for a particular configuration is the ease of carrying out the atomistic simulations. Accordingly, we consider a rectangular membrane with a free edge of size \( L \) and a clamped (opposite) edge. The objective is to study the fluctuation behavior of the membrane at (and near) the free edge. In order to make analytical progress, we model this case with a semi-infinite sheet with one free edge. The semi-infinite sheet is embedded in the domain \( \Omega^1 := \{ x = (x, y); -\infty < x < 0, -\infty < y < \infty \} \), with a free edge at \( \partial \Omega^1 := \{ x = 0, y; -\infty < y < \infty \} \). Therefore, we have periodic boundary conditions only in the \( y \) direction. The derivation is long and tedious; however, the final result is exceptionally simple which we quote here and leave it to the reader to pursue the details in the SM, Section 4, Eqs. (38–61) [31]. At the edge \( x = x' = (0, y) \), the displacement self-correlation is simply

\[
\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle = \frac{2kT\kappa_b}{Lq^2[2\kappa_b(\phi_0 - 2q\kappa_G + q^2\kappa_s) - q^2\kappa_G^2]},
\]

which, unlike the infinite membrane case, contains the contributions from not only the bending modulus \( \kappa_s \), but also the Gaussian modulus \( \kappa_G \) as well as the edge properties \( \langle \phi_0, \kappa_s \rangle \) [42].

**MD simulations of a fluctuating free edge.**—In order to use the derived fluctuation relations in the preceding paragraphs, we perform MD simulations on graphene monolayer and three types of lipid bilayers. The details of the simulation approach, force field used, the manner in which the edge conditions were imposed and other details are in the SM, Section 5 [31]. For lipid bilayers, calculations were performed with the freely available software GROMACS, using the coarse-grained Martini force field [43,44]. We chose three types of lipid: DPPC, DOPC, and DOPE. We expect different properties for the three bilayers, and since any particular one is fine for “proof-of-concept,” we focus on the details of only DPPC. For the others, the results can be found in the SM, Section 5 [31]. We perform MD simulations of monolayer graphene using LAMMPS [38]. The second-generation REBO potential [27] is used for the multibody C–C interactions. In graphene, unlike lipid bilayers, the in and out-of-plane deformations are coupled in a nonlinear fashion. MD simulations of infinitely large graphene monolayers show that at finite temperature, depending on the size of the sheet and the temperature, they exhibit marked stiffening [11,45]. To minimize the effects arising from nonlinearities, we perform MD simulations under NPT ensemble—zero pressure—to relax the in-plane stress field. Further details related to graphene MD simulations are in the SM, Section 6 [31].

**Results and discussions.**—We fit our theoretical expression in Eq. (4) to the data from MD simulations. The details on transforming the MD data into Fourier space can be found in the SM, Section 7 [31]. Results are shown in Fig. 2 for lipid bilayers. The fluctuation spectra can be described by a power law as \( \langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle \propto 1/q^4 \). For long wavelength fluctuations, the dominant term is the edge tension, as it couples with \( q^2 \), while at short wavelength fluctuations, the edge modulus \( \kappa_s \), coupled with \( q^4 \) becomes the dominant term. Unfortunately, there are no reports on the edge modulus of lipid membranes in the literature. For the DPPC lipid membrane, we obtained the bending modulus \( \kappa_b = 36 \text{ kT} \) and the edge tension is estimated as \( \phi_0 = 14.4 \text{ kT/nm} \), which is about an order of
FIG. 3. Fluctuations of the free edge of graphene monolayer with size of $L = 8.4$ nm.

magnitude larger than what has been obtained by Hu et al. [15]. In the intermediate region, the Gaussian modulus, determines the decaying trend of the fluctuations, i.e., the value of $\eta$ and how fast the fluctuations decay. Interestingly, the Gaussian modulus does not have significant impact on the overall fluctuations, but strongly affects only its rate of decay with respect to the wave number and is estimated to be $\kappa_G = -28.8$ kT for the DPPC lipid membrane. Our fitted parameters are, indeed, in the range of reported data for lipid membranes [16–22], but slightly different from those reported by Hu et al. [15]. We have investigated the properties for other types of lipids as well (SM, Section 5 [31]). While our estimates on Gaussian modulus and edge tension for DPPC are in reasonable agreement with existing values in the literature, reported values of these properties for DOPC and DOPE in the literature, cannot explain our MD observations.

The results for the fluctuations of a graphene edge are shown in Fig. 3. Existing values for graphene mechanical properties in the literature are reported at zero Kelvin. Because of nonlinearities, graphene monolayers exhibit stiffening at finite temperatures. Similarly, the Gaussian modulus and edge properties also get renormalized at finite temperatures. In fact, a free edge, influenced by edge forces, sustains ground-state nonzero deformations, that arise from the competition between in-plane stretching energy and the compressive edge force. The effect of the in-plane stretching energy can be implicitly captured by the edge modulus $\kappa_s$ as well as $\Phi_0$, representing the apparent edge tension. The variation of the edge modulus appears to have a negligible effect on the fitting of the MD results. Our results show that, at finite temperatures, the apparent edge tension—unlike its bare value at zero Kelvin $\sim -10$ eV/nm [46]—is positive with a value of $\sim 1.2$ (eV/nm) and, thus, provides stability to the edge. This is in sharp contrast to the negative edge tension that is believed to exist for graphene edges. For the Gaussian modulus also, we obtained $\kappa_G \sim 1$ kT $\sim 25$ meV, which is positive and much larger than its predicted bare value at zero Kelvin $\sim -1.52$ to $-1.62$ eV [25,26]. Our results bring to fore that the negative value is not possible at finite temperatures. In fact, we could not get a good fit with the reported negative values of the Gaussian modulus in the literature. Indeed, the best fit is obtained with rather small, positive values for the Gaussian modulus. The discrepancies between the zero and finite temperature Gaussian modulus and edge tension clearly reveal that entropic effects are significant. Even if we were to use the currently accepted properties, they would be incapable of explaining our simulations of the edge fluctuations (Fig. 4) and, in fact, demonstrate unphysical instability at finite temperatures. The red dashed line in Fig. 4 is obtained by substituting the zero-Kelvin values into Eq. (4). Note that the zero-Kelvin negative values of the edge tension and Gaussian modulus, result is a singular point $q^*$ where the denominator of Eq. (4) becomes zero. For all values of $q < q^*$, the correlation function becomes negative, which is an indicator of the instability of thermal fluctuations for long wavelengths.

While not practical for lipid bilayers, at least in the context of graphene, it is of interest to investigate whether the Gaussian modulus does show a transition towards negative values as the temperature is lowered. As alluded to earlier, two notable (and congruent) estimates exist for the zero Kelvin value [25,26]. Accordingly, we have also explored the temperature dependency of the Gaussian modulus and edge properties. The detailed results appear in the SM, Section 8 [31]. Our simulations suggest that, as the temperature decreases below room temperature, these properties approach their zero Kelvin values, and in particular, the Gaussian modulus does transition to a negative value. We remark that (as discussed in the SM, Section 8 [31]) our approach has limitations in that it cannot be used (in its present form) at ultralow or very high temperatures. Future work extending our framework to incorporate stretch-bending coupling may partially mitigate this limitation.

In summary, our work provides a new and facile route—for the first time—to extract the edge properties and Gaussian modulus for both fluid and solid 2D membranes from fluctuations spectra. The obtained insights lay bare
some of the physical inconsistencies and paradoxes in the currently accepted mechanical properties for lipid bilayers and graphene.
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Note added in proof.—Recently, Professor Thomas Powers (Brown University) kindly pointed out to us that Gibaud et al. [47] used thermal fluctuations to extract edge properties of fluid membranes from experiments and Jia et al. [48] explored chiral edge fluctuations in colloidal membranes to experimentally assess a positive Gaussian modulus for that system.
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[1] We will interchangeably use the terminology “membranes” to refer to 2D materials.
[7] Solid membranes such as graphene may also require accounting for in-plane stretching elasticity.
[24] We remark that, to the best we can assess, the approach presented by them is unlikely to be practical for arbitrary large patch size, where the entropic effects cannot be neglected in the total free energy change.
[31] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.068002 for continuum mechanics of 2D materials with open edges, statistical mechanics of membranes with open boundaries, details of our MD simulations and fitting data, as well as...
discussion about the temperature dependance of the properties for graphene, which includes Refs. [27,29,32–39].


[41] The displacement correlations at finite temperature can be related to the ground state Green’s function. Further details are provided in the SM, Section 3, Eqs. (22–31) [31].

[42] We remark that, while the edge tension has been discussed in the past by several researchers, there has been no estimate to date of the edge modulus. Our derived relations provide a facile approach to compute that, also.


[45] This can be physically explained by the fact that graphene’s in-plane Young’s modulus is relatively high, and at finite temperature, this suppresses the fluctuations—due to the nonlinear coupling between in-plane and out-of-plane deformations. Consequently, the bare value of a bending modulus of graphene monolayers is typically reported at zero kelvin.

