Compressive performance and crack propagation in Al alloy/Ti2AlC composites
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A B S T R A C T

Composite materials comprising a porous Ti2AlC matrix and Al 6061 alloy were fabricated by a current-activated pressure assisted melt infiltration process. Coarse, medium and fine meso-structures were prepared with Al alloy filled pores of differing sizes. Materials were subjected to uniaxial compressive loading up to stresses of 668 MPa, leading to the failure of specimens through crack propagation in both phases. As-fabricated and post-failure specimens were analysed by X-ray microscopy and electron microscopy. Quasi-static mechanical testing results revealed that compressive strength was the highest in the fine structured composite materials. While the coarse structured specimens exhibited a compressive strength of 80% relative to this. Reconstructed micro-scale X-ray tomography data revealed different crack propagation mechanisms. Large planar shear cracks propagated throughout the fine structured materials while the coarser specimens exhibited networks of branching cracks propagating preferentially along Al alloy/Ti2AlC phase interfaces and through shrinkage pores in the Al alloy phase. Results suggest that control of porosity, compensation for Al alloy shrinkage and enhancement of the Al alloy-Ti2AlC phase interfaces are key considerations in the design of high performance metal/Ti2AlC phase composites.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

MAX phases are a family of ternary carbides and nitrides with the formula M_{1−x}AXn, where M is a transition metal, A is a group III or IV metal, X is either nitrogen or carbon and n = 1, 2 or 3 [1]. This family of materials first came to attention through the work of Nowotny et al. in the 1960s [2–5] and became the subject of renewed interests after 1996 with a study on synthesis and the unusual mechanical properties of bulk Ti3SiC2 [6]. Following this, the scope of this family of materials rapidly expanded, with a growing range of systems and compositions being studied. MAX phase ceramics are of great interest owing to their unusual combination of properties including high thermal and electronic conductivity, oxidation resistance, good machinability, damage tolerance, and thermal shock resilience, among others.

Among the numerous MAX phases, Ti2AlC has drawn attention and has been the subject of several investigations [7–11]. This material has been shown to exhibit beneficial properties in terms of machinability, electric conductivity and fracture toughness [9,12–14]. Of particular interest is the crack healing ability observed in Ti2AlC systems through the formation of a well adhered alumina phase in heat treatment cycles [15–17]. Following the typical structure of materials in the M2AX subset of the MAX phase materials (also termed the 211 group), Ti2AlC comprises molecular layers of titanium carbide with every third layer consisting of pure aluminium, and belongs to the space group P63/mmc [18]. These layers of ductile phase facilitate nonlinear kinking behaviour and plastic deformation, believed to occur through basal plane slip.

An interesting combination of metallic- and ceramic-like properties of MAX phases have motivated the development of metal/MAX phase composites [1,19,20]. A composite of Cu and Ti3SiC2 was proposed as a new electro-friction material [21], and MAX phases have further been shown to increase the mechanical strength of several metallic systems while maintaining good thermal and electrical conductivity [22–24]. In addition, the yield strength of a Al/Ti2AlC2 composite was found to be twice that of Al [25] while the mechanical energy dissipation was found to be significantly improved in Mg/Ti2AlC [26] and NiTi/Ti3SiC2 composites [27] when compared to its pure constituents.

Metal/MAX phase composites are frequently processed by powder co-sintering methods. One of the principal challenges in
this approach stems from the reaction between the metallic and MAX phases that limits the use of high temperature processes. In powder co-sintering, temperatures are usually chosen just below the melting point of the metal phase, which is generally insufficient for the sintering of the MAX phase material [25]. In order to obtain metal/MAX phase composites with marginal inter-phase reactions, molten metals were infiltrated into MAX phase foams in a pressureless infiltration technique to fabricate Ti2AlC/Mg composites exhibiting higher strength and mechanical energy dissipation than other Mg composites [28,29]. However, such pressureless infiltration into foams is encumbered by poor wettability of MAX phase foams by some molten metals, which inhibits adequate metal infiltration. The observed poor wettability may yield weak bonding between metal and ceramic phases, resulting in inferior mechanical properties [30]. This problem can be overcome by using pressure infiltration to force molten metals into ceramic foams. However, in many cases the reaction of the molten metal with the MAX phase material is sufficiently rapid that even in such pressure-driven infiltration methods new phases are likely to form. The new phases not only cage the pores to prevent further infiltration, but also degrade the constituents of the composites. Minimising the extent of such reactions remains a significant challenge towards the fabrication of metal/MAX phase composites.

Aluminium alloys are attractive in ceramic-metal composites for aerospace and transportation applications, where weight saving and thermal stability are important considerations. Thus Al and its alloys have been combined with ceramic phases of Al2O3, Ti3SiC2, Ti2AlC and V2AlC [12,38,39]. The use of MAX phases in Al-based composites until recent studies on composites of aluminium alloys with and Ti2AlC [31-33], B4C [30,34,35], and SiC [36,37], in composite materials. However, MAX phases had not been used in Al-based composites until recent studies on composites of aluminium alloys with and Ti2AlCb, Ti3AlC and V2AlC [12,38,39]. The use of MAX phases in Al-based composites has several additional advantages relative to traditional ceramic components, e.g. Al2O3, B4C, or SiC. Typical MAX phases exhibit a higher fracture toughness (e.g. ~7 MPa m1/2 for Ti3AlC2) than Al2O3 (~4 MPa m1/2), B4C (~3.7 MPa m1/2), and SiC (~4.6 MPa m1/2). Furthermore, unlike traditional ceramics, MAX phases exhibit high thermal and electronic conductivities originating from atomic bonding with mixed covalent, ionic, and metallic characteristics, allowing for more versatile applications.

It has been shown that a current-activated, pressure-assisted infiltration (CAPAI) is a viable method for producing inter-penetrating Al alloy/MAX phase composites [40]. One of the attributes of this method is that it facilitates the fabrication of composite materials that could not otherwise be fabricated using conventional methods due to poor wettability and interphase reactions [27]. Future development of composites fabricated by such means necessitates an improved understanding of multi-scale structural-mechanical property relationships in these materials. Here we present the first report of structure, mechanical performance and crack propagation in Al alloy/Ti2AlC composite systems fabricated with different meso-structures. We employ micro-scale X-ray tomography (also known as X-ray microscopy) to gain meaningful insights into the deformation and failure of these materials under compressive loads.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Ti2AlC foam

In order to fabricate Ti2AlC foams in three distinct meso-structures, an appropriate precursor MAX phase powder was used in conjunction with sodium chloride pore formers following reported protocols [38,41]. In this process Ti2AlC powder (Maxthal 211, Sandvik, Sweden) with a particle size in the range 45–90 μm, and three types of NaCl powders (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), with particle size distributions in the ranges 45–90 μm, 180–250 μm or 355–500 μm, were employed. The fabrication of foams was conducted in three main steps: (i) a mixture of the NaCl pore former (either coarse, medium or fine) and the Ti2AlC powder was blended in a 40/60 volume ratio by ball milling and then pressed in a cylindrical die of 12.7 mm diameter at 800 MPa; (ii) the NaCl pore former was dissolved in distilled water by soaking overnight, and (iii) the porous green Ti2AlC body was sintered under flowing argon at 1400 °C for 4 h. Pore sizes in the foams were determined by measuring the size of 50 pores in SEM images using the intercept method, as specified in ASTM E112-13 [42], from four SEM images in randomly selected locations on each sample.

#### 2.2. Composite fabrication

To prepare composite Al/Ti2AlC specimens, Al alloy 6061 discs (McMaster-Carr, GA, USA) with a diameter of 20 mm and a thickness of 4 mm were used in an infiltration process. In this process the Ti2AlC foams with different pores sizes were ‘sandwiched’ in between two Al alloy discs and placed in a graphite die, with graphite foils separating the discs from the die. This “sandwich” set-up facilitates a more uniform infiltration of molten metal. Infiltration was carried out using a spark plasma sintering system (SPS 25-10, GT Advanced Technologies, CA, USA). In this system, the chamber was evacuated and held at 10–6 torr for 10 min before heating. A direct current was pulsed at 10 ms intervals from 0 to 1250 A over 4 min to give a heating rate of 200 °C/minute before stabilizing at a current of 860 A to give a 1 min soak at 750 °C. The complete infiltration process including heating/melting, soaking, and cooling/solidification was carried out over 10 minutes. The temperature was calibrated and measured using procedures described elsewhere [27].

By employing pore formers with three different size distributions in the synthesis of Ti2AlC foams, we examined three distinct meso-structures of Al alloy/Ti2AlC composites, referred to as fine, medium and coarse, exhibiting Al alloy phase segments of different sizes. The term meso-structure is used as it exists at a level between the microstructure of the individual material

### Table 1

Properties of composite materials with differing meso-structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Interpenetrating phase size, μm</th>
<th>Volume percent of constituents, vol%</th>
<th>Compressive strength, MPa</th>
<th>Failure strain, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>42–83</td>
<td>60.1 ± 0.9, 37.0, 0.3 ± 0.2, 2.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>668 ± 28</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>77–276</td>
<td>58.4 ± 1.2, 35.9, 0.5 ± 0.4, 5.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>610 ± 30</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>167–545</td>
<td>59.2 ± 1.4, 34.6, 0.7 ± 0.6, 5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>563 ± 68</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined from SEM images following ASTM E112-13 [42].

* Measured by alcohol immersion following ASTM C20-00 [43].

* Determined from the balance of Ti2AlC and pores.

* Averaged from 3 test specimens.
constituents and the bulk composite macrostructure. Fine, medium and coarse composite samples were denoted by suffixes F-, M- and C- respectively, in this paper.

2.3. Characterization

Density and porosity (both open and closed) of all samples were determined by an alcohol immersion method, as specified in ASTM C20-00 [43]. Theoretical density values of 4.11 g/cm³ and 2.70 g/cm³ [44,45] for Ti2AlC and Al alloy, respectively, were used to calculate a theoretical density of 3.55 g/cm³ for composites containing 40 vol% Al, using the rule of mixture. Actual material densities are shown in Table 1.

To assess the influence of structure on the mechanical performance and failure of the composite materials, the deformation behaviour of materials in compression was tested. The compressive stress-strain curves of the specimens under cyclic loading were obtained using an MTS 810 (MTS Systems, MN, USA) servo hydraulic test frame at a strain rate of 7×10⁻⁴ s⁻¹. All specimens for compressive testing were cut by electrical discharge machining to dimensions of 3.5 mm × 3.5 mm × 7 mm. All specimens were machined to have flat and parallel ends within ±25 μm. As-processed and post-compression samples are denoted by the suffixes AP and PC, respectively.

Preliminary microstructural analysis of specimens was carried out using a Hitachi TM 3030 scanning electron microscope (SEM), with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a working distance of approximately 7 mm. The distribution of the different phases and the morphology of cracks in PC specimens were examined using this method. Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) analysis was further conducted to determine the phases present. EBSD scans were carried out using a Zeiss Ultra field emission gun SEM equipped with Oxford Instruments AZTec integrated EDS and EBSD system, with X-Max 20 mm 2 silicon drift EDS detector and Nordlys-nano EBSD detector. The scans were done with a step size varying from 0.2 to 0.5 μm depending on the specimen (0.2 μm for the M-AP specimen, 0.3 μm for C-AP and 0.5 μm for F-AP). All the scans were done with an accelerating voltage of 20 keV. Data acquisition and analysis were done using Oxford Instrument’s AZTec HKL and HKL Tango software.

To gain reliable insights into failure mechanisms in Al alloy/Ti2AlC composites, it is necessary to capture 3D microstructural information from as-processed and post-compression specimens. As cutting cross sections from specimens may result in further cracks, deformation and/or loss of material, it is preferable to conduct non-destructive 3D structural reconstruction. To achieve this, micro-scale X-ray computed tomography was carried out using a Zeiss MicroXCT-400. Micro-scale X-ray computed tomography, also known as μ-CT, as carried out here constitutes a type of X-ray microscopy (XRM) as it uses optical elements in conjunction with a scintillator to acquire X-ray data at higher magnifications and spatial resolution [46,47].

Several XRM scans with micrometre scale resolution were facilitated using a 150 kV, 10W X-ray beam. Specimens were rotated 360° in the sample chamber with 2D X-ray projections captured at 0.2° intervals with an exposure of 5 s per frame. Projections were captured using both a lens magnification of 4x and 20x and geometric positioning to result in pixels of linear size 4.95 and 1.01 μm, respectively. 2D X-ray projections were reconstructed using XMReconstructor software v7.0.2817 to yield isotropic voxels in 3D with the same linear dimensions. The reconstructed XRM data contain greyscale data representing material attenuation of X-rays. These data were interpreted using Avizo Fire 8 software (FEI Visualization Sciences Group). In this work we applied an entropic thresholding algorithm to quantitatively approximate the relative proportions of Ti2AlC, Al alloy and air based on image greyscale histograms [48,49]. An illustration of the thresholded phase distribution is shown in Fig. 1. On the basis of 2D orthogonal slices and 3D reconstructions, the interaction of cracks with different phases was evaluated in post compression material. Volumetric segmentation analysis further facilitated the assessment of crack behaviour on the basis of the surface area to volume ratio.

3. Results

3.1. Specimen properties

Ti2AlC foams with various pore sizes, i.e., 42–83 μm, 77–276 μm and 167–545 μm, were used for Al alloy infiltration to prepare Al alloy/Ti2AlC composites with fine, medium, and coarse structures, respectively. These structures were achieved using NaCl particles as pore formers and serve as precursors to fine, medium and coarse composite materials. All three foams exhibited connectivity of Ti2AlC grains and formation of sintering necks. These precursors were fabricated using the same volume percent (40 vol%) of NaCl particles and thus have comparable overall porosities of 40.8, 41.6 and 39.9 vol%. Thus the infiltration of these three foams with Al alloy resulted in roughly 40 vol% Al alloy/Ti2AlC composites with various interpenetrating phase sizes (Fig. 2(a–c)).

Table 1 outlines the size of Al phase, volumetric contents of the constituents (Ti2AlC, Al alloy), and open and closed porosity in fine, medium and coarse Al/Ti2AlC composites. Despite the short processing time, these data show that more than 97% of the open

![Fig. 1. Orthogonal slice from XRM based micro-CT (a) greyscale reconstruction results (b) three-phase thresholded data.](image-url)
Porosity in the foams was infiltrated with molten metal. The volume percent of closed pores in the composite materials is significantly higher than open pores. Closed pores most likely originate from shrinkage voids formed in the alloy during rapid cooling and pre-existing closed pores in the Ti$_2$AlC foams prior to infiltration.

EBSD phase maps of the three different specimen types are given in Fig. 2, illustrating the variation in mesostructure between the materials. From the presence of Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ and Al$_3$Ti phases at interface regions it is evident that some reaction occurs between the MAX phase and the Al alloy during the infiltration process. These interface regions between the two phases are mainly composed of Al$_3$Ti (shown in yellow) which most likely arises through Al diffusion from the liquid phase.

Stress-strain curves of the three Al/Ti$_2$AlC composite materials tested to failure in compression are shown in Fig. 3. Both strength
and failure strain of the composites decrease with increasing interpenetrating phase size. The compressive strength and failure strain of the fine structured composites are approximately 20% and 35%, respectively, higher than those of the coarse/medium structured composites.

3.2. SEM analysis

Fig. 4(a) shows that the fine-structured material exhibited a single dominant crack subsequent to compressive yielding (F-PC), traversing throughout the entire material bulk. The diagonal crack plane exhibited the typical morphology of a shear type failure at roughly 45° relative to the loading direction, and exhibited step-like kinks over its length in which fracture debris appeared to be present, shown in Fig. 4(b). This debris appeared to consist of a mixture of both Al alloy and Ti2AlC phases as evident from the EDS results shown in Fig. 5(a), with MAX phase grains exhibiting the layered microstructure typical of this material [12], shown in Fig. 5(b).

In contrast to fine structured material, the medium and coarse structured materials did not exhibit a single dominant crack. Rather these materials exhibited a network of smaller cracks with some, as seen in Fig. 6(a), exhibiting tearing propagating preferentially through the Al alloy/Ti2AlC interfaces. The tendency of cracks to propagate around Al regions was observed in both coarse and medium structured material as well, shown in Fig. 6(b) and 6(c), respectively.

3.3. Tomography data

As SEM data only represents material surfaces, micro-scale X-ray tomography data is analysed in order to gain a meaningful insight into material structure and failure behaviour. Axial 2D slices were extracted from reconstructed X-ray data of all specimens. These ortho-slices, taken parallel and perpendicular to the loading direction, are consistent with propagation found from SEM analysis, showing crack propagation throughout the volume, rather than just at the surface, and shed further light on the structure and compressive yielding of the materials studied in the present work. The three phases that can be seen in the X-ray tomography data are, in order of increasing greyscale (and thus material attenuation), MAX phase, Al alloy and air. The latter, which appears as black or near black in the orthogonal slices shown in Fig. 7, is apparent in cracks, shrinkage voids within Al regions and empty closed MAX phase pores that were not filled with Al. It was further found that cracks existed in the coarse precursor Ti2AlC foams and were filled with Al during CAPAI. This resulted in elongated Al regions in as processed coarse structured (C-AP) materials as seen in Fig. 7(d).

On the basis of a review of parallel and transverse slices reviewed from each post-compression specimen, the interaction of propagating cracks with the MAX phase and Al alloy components was assessed. For medium and coarse structured specimens it was clearly evident that cracks propagate preferentially through the more brittle MAX phase rather than through the more ductile interpenetrating alloy, as illustrated by Figs. 6 and 7. Upon encountering Al filled pores, cracks generally tend to be deflected and propagate through MAX phase-Al alloy interfaces.

3.4. Volumetric X-ray analysis

Projections acquired from XRM were used to reconstruct volumetric representations of the porous MAX phase matrix constituent in fine-, medium- and coarse-structured Al/Ti2AlC composites. These reconstructions shown in Fig. 8 reveal the failed Ti2AlC phase excluding the interpenetrating metal.

On the basis of entropic thresholding, the approximated phase proportions of the three constituents (Al alloy, Ti2AlC and air) are shown in Table 2 for the different materials analysed. The post compression material shows a higher air fraction owing to the presence of cracks. The presence of unfilled pores and Al shrinkage voids further explains for the presence of air in both AP and PC materials. As entropic thresholding is an indirect method relative to the alcohol immersion method, the data given in Table 1 is likely to be a more accurate representation of as-processed materials. The largest void fraction is found for coarse material post-compression. This is likely the result of the wider cracks formed in this material during failure.

3.5. Pore segmentation

The distribution of the aluminium alloy material was assessed by threshold-separating this phase and applying a watershed segmentation algorithm to define individual Al alloy-filled pores. The results of this segmentation are shown in Fig. 9. The aluminium alloy phase is interconnected, as it is formed through an infiltration process in the predominantly open pore structure of the MAX phase foams, and thus segmentation is somewhat ambiguous. Nevertheless, the structure and the Al phase distribution are readily observable in the segmentation results. The volume and interface area of individual segments are naturally smaller for finer structures. The specific interfacial area of the alloy phase is
represented by the parameter $\alpha'$ given in terms of $\mu m^{-1}$ and corresponds to the ratio of interface area to pore volume.

$$\alpha' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $A_i$ and $V_i$ are the interfacial area and volume of individual segments. From the analysis of this parameter it is found unsurprisingly that the specific interface area of the Al alloy phase increases monotonically with finer mesostructures as shown in Table 3.

4. Discussion

From examination of SEM micrographs, XRM slices, and volumetric analysis, it is evident that while the fine structure yields through a relatively straight shear crack in Al/Ti$_2$AlC processed by Al melt infiltration of the porous Ti$_2$AlC foams, the medium and coarse materials exhibit fine branching cracks. This is further evident from the quantitative analysis of phase segmentation. From analysis of the segmentation data we find that for fine, medium and coarse structured materials the specific interface area of the Al alloy phase ($\alpha'$) increases subsequent to failure by approximately 12%, 24% and 36% respectively. This trend is indicative of two possible tendencies: (i) a greater extent of cracks; (ii) a preferential tendency for cracks to propagate through or around Al

Fig. 6. SEM micrographs of post compression materials (a) Jagged crack in M-PC (b) Crack interaction with Al in M-PC (c) Crack interaction with Al in C-PC.
alloy inclusions. From the micrographic interpretation of ortho-
slice sections there appears to be little tendency for preferential
propagation within Al alloy regions. However an exception
exists for the case of crack propagation through elongated Al alloy
inclusions likely formed through the filling of pre-existing cracks
in the MAX phase matrix, which are more common in coarse
structured material. Thus it is likely that both mechanisms (i) and
(ii) play a certain role in the observed trend.

While absent in the fine material, Al filled pores exhibiting
large shrinkage voids are common in coarse and medium struc-
tures and these are likely to have acted as crack nucleation sites as
evident by the correlation of lower compressive strength to higher
porosity and the cracks seen in the XRM reconstruction of post
compression materials. Such voids can be seen in XRM ortho-slices
shown in Fig. 7(c) and (d). Minimising void formation in CAPAI
synthesis is likely to improve toughness and may be achieved by
employing a finer meso-structure or by increased infiltration
pressure.

The tendency for cracks to propagate through Al alloy/Ti2AlC
interfaces suggests that fracture toughness can be improved by
enhancing the bonding across these interfaces, thus increasing the
tensile strength and energy dissipation. The unintentional forma-
tion of Al3Ti and Ti3AlC2 near interfaces during infiltration, as
revealed by EBSD, may weaken these regions and minimising their
occurrence is likely to enhance fracture toughness. One method
that may be appropriate towards this end would be the gas phase
deposition of Al through PVD methods on MAX phase precursor to
create a well-bonded intermediate layer with minimal diffusion
impurities prior to CAPAI.

5. Conclusions

The compressive performance of a novel metal/MAX phase
composite system was examined and interpreted with reference to
crack propagation observations in materials of varied meso-
structure. By employing recently developed techniques of X-ray
microscopy we were able to gain three dimensional insights into
the structure and failure behaviour of these materials. Under-
standing the role of meso-structure in the strength of metal/MAX
phase composites provides new pathways towards the tailoring of
mechanical properties in this type of system.

In the present work a finer interpenetrating metallic phase and
lower porosity were found to strengthen the composite materials.
This suggests that adjusting the size distribution of the metallic
phase and minimising the void ratio in CAPAI processed materials

![Fig. 7. XRM slices acquired at using a 4x objective lens, showing view perpendicular to loading direction (a) F-PC (b) M-CP (c) C-PC (d) C-AP.](image-url)
may facilitate improved mechanical performance in these materials. The major findings are summarized as follows.

1. While fine structured composite materials yield through a relatively straight shear crack, the medium and coarse materials exhibit yielding through large numbers of fine branching cracks.

### Table 2
Phase proportions approximated by volumetric X-ray tomographic analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Volumetric composition As-processed / Post Compression (%)</th>
<th>Amounts (%)</th>
<th>Air (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti2AlC</td>
<td>Al 6061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>64.14/61.84</td>
<td>32.14/32.5</td>
<td>3.72/5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>59.54/62.05</td>
<td>37.57/33.82</td>
<td>2.89/4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>59.56/63.81</td>
<td>38.05/30.25</td>
<td>2.38/5.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Volume rendering of MAX phase.
2. Cracks propagate predominantly through the MAX phase. Upon encountering Al filled pores, cracks tend to be deflected and propagate through MAX phase-Al alloy interfaces.

3. The tendency for cracks to deflect through Al alloy/Ti2AlC interfaces suggests that fracture toughness can be improved by enhancing the bonding across these interfaces, thus increasing the tensile strength and energy dissipation that occurs in crack propagation.
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