

A unified model of mean-time-to-failure for electromigration, thermomigration, and stress-migration based on entropy production

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **126**, 075109 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5111159>

Submitted: 23 May 2019 . Accepted: 23 July 2019 . Published Online: 16 August 2019

K. N. Tu, and A. M. Gusak



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Atomic layer deposition of epitaxial ferroelectric barium titanate on Si\(001\) for electronic and photonic applications](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **126**, 064101 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5087571>

[Triaxial strain engineering of magnetic phase in phosphorene](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **126**, 063902 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5110220>

[Experimental determination of the electro-acoustic properties of thin film AlScN using surface acoustic wave resonators](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **126**, 075106 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5094611>

Journal of
Applied Physics

SPECIAL TOPIC:
Polymer-Grafted Nanoparticles

Submit Today!

A unified model of mean-time-to-failure for electromigration, thermomigration, and stress-migration based on entropy production

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. 126, 075109 (2019); doi: 10.1063/1.5111159

Submitted: 23 May 2019 · Accepted: 23 July 2019 ·

Published Online: 16 August 2019



K. N. Tu^{1,2,a)} and A. M. Gusak³

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

²International College of Semiconductor Technology, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan

³Department of Physics, Cherkasy National University, Cherkasy 18000, Ukraine

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: kntu@ucla.edu

ABSTRACT

We have revisited Black's equation of mean-time-to-failure (MTTF) for electromigration from the viewpoint that in irreversible processes, entropy production is the controlling behavior. We justify that the power factor on current density is $n = 2$, as given in the original Black's equation. Furthermore, on the basis of entropy production, we provide a unified model of MTTF for thermomigration and stress-migration. We note that up to now, no MTTF for thermomigration and stress-migration is given.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5111159>

I. INTRODUCTION

As we enter the big data era, 5G communication technology and artificial intelligence (AI) applications are ubiquitous due to the use of mobile internet and devices. The continuing demand in mobile devices for smaller size, more functionality, lower power consumption, and reduced cost is challenging, because Moore's law of miniaturization in Si technology is ending. Actually, a paradigm change, from 2-dimensional integrated circuits (2D ICs) to 3D IC, has occurred in microelectronics industry for more than ten years. Yet, the transition to 3D IC has not been successful due to high cost and low reliability.^{1–3} Currently, the use of 5G technology in AI applications has provided the impetus to promote 2.5D IC, instead of 3D IC, into mass production. Reliability has become a critical issue.

Owing to the strong attraction of the huge market in 5G + AI applications, some microelectronic companies tend to push out their 2.5D IC devices in mass production without a detailed study of reliability. Compared to 2D IC devices, the adding of a Si interposer to 2.5D IC devices requires one more redistribution layer (RDL) and one more level of solder joints due to the use of vertical interconnects. It has been shown that if the new RDL is not designed properly, it becomes the weak-link in the system and fails quickly.^{4,5} On the other hand, if we strengthen the RDL, relatively

speaking, we just move the weak-link in the interconnect system from RDL to other interconnect units such as microbumps.

In the past, when electromigration was studied in Al or Cu lines, we cared about stress-migration because of back-stress⁶ but not thermomigration. This is because the Soret effect occurs in alloys. Thus, we have to wait until the wide application of the flip chip C-4 (control-collapse-chip-connection) solder joint, which is an alloy, and also when its reliability became an issue, the study of thermomigration began.⁷ Across a C-4 solder joint of 100 μm in diameter, if there is a temperature difference of 10 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, the temperature gradient is 1000 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{cm}$, which enables thermomigration to occur.

In the dense 2.5D IC packaging, not only Joule heating is serious but also heat dissipation is poor. To enhance heat dissipation, we need to have a high temperature gradient. Unfortunately, the gradient can lead to thermomigration. This is especially true in small structures; for a microbump of 10 μm in diameter, if there is a temperature difference of only 1 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ across it, the temperature gradient is 1000 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{cm}$. Furthermore, the use of Si interposer enhances the lateral heat transfer along the interposer, and it has caused an unexpected thermomigration failure.⁸

To analyze thermomigration failures, however, we found that there is no analysis of mean-time-to-failure (MTTF) for thermomigration as well as for stress-migration. In electromigration,

Black's equation of MTTF is well established.⁹ The goal of this paper is to find a common theme of failure analysis so that we can have a unified model of MTTF for all three of them. To do so, we have based our study on entropy production in irreversible processes as the common theme. What is very interesting is that the exponential parameter of $n = 2$ on the current density in Black's equation can be justified, which has been a controversial issue for a long time!¹⁰⁻¹⁶

Actually, the exponent $n = 2$ was obtained in the paper of Shatzkes and Lloyd,¹¹ which was an important step in the analysis of electromigration-induced failures. Yet, their model was based on the unrealistic assumption of vacancy electromigration without vacancy sinks and sources. Authors of Ref. 11 solved the equation of vacancy redistribution under electromigration in a semi-infinite line with a blocking boundary (zero flux) without vacancy sinks in the line and at the boundary. The failure criterion in this paper was taken as reaching some critical value of vacancy concentration at the blocking boundary. This approach was later significantly changed and improved (by simultaneous account of vacancy sinks and stresses) in the papers by Kirchheim and Kaeber¹² and by Korhonen *et al.*¹³

II. A UNIFIED MODEL OF MTTF FOR ELECTROMIGRATION, THERMIGRATION, AND STRESS-MIGRATION

A. Revisit Black's equation of MTTF for electromigration

On studying electromigration, we can vary time, temperature, and the applied current density. Black's equation of MTTF for electromigration has been given as

$$MTTF = A(j^{-n}) \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{kT}\right), \quad (1)$$

where the time (MTTF) is related to temperature and current density by three parameters: the prefactor A , the current density power factor $n = 2$, and the activation energy E_a . The factor E_a is the activation energy of atomic diffusion in electromigration.

Conventionally, let J be the atomic flux driven by electromigration; we have

$$J = C \frac{D}{kT} Z^* e p j, \quad (2)$$

where C is the concentration, $D = D_0 \exp(-E_d/kT)$ is the atomic diffusivity, and for electromigration in Cu interconnects at the device operation temperature near 100 °C, it is surface diffusion, and D_0 is the prefactor, E_d is the activation energy, kT is thermal energy; and the driving force is $Z^* e p j$, where Z^* is effective charge number, e is the charge, ρ is the resistivity, and j is the applied current density.¹⁷

Equation (2) is a simplification because the atomic flux contains, in addition to the gradient of electric potential, also the gradients of stress and concentration. A general model for mechanical stress evolution during electromigration has been considered by Nix *et al.*¹⁵

To understand the physics better, we try to distinguish processes, in which electromigration, thermomigration, or stress-migration may play the major role. Of course, sometimes such distinguishing becomes impossible. For example, in the Nabarro-Herring explanation of the

Blech experiment for critical products of current density and length, the total atomic (and vacancy) flux (consisting from electromigration and stress-migration parts) becomes zero, meaning also zero entropy production (except Joule heating compensated by heat out-flux). However, we only try to discuss our subject in the simplest cases below.

Now, let V^* be the critical volume of the void formation at the cathode end, which has led to opening failure, we have $V^* = \Omega J A t$, where Ω is the atomic volume, A is the cross-section of the diffusion, and t (or MTTF) is the time to failure, so

$$t = V^* / \Omega A J. \quad (3)$$

The above equation shows that MTTF is proportional to $1/j$, thus $n = 1$.

To revisit Black's equation, we consider entropy production in irreversible processes.^{18,19} The link between entropy production and microstructure change under mechanical damage has been given.²⁰ According to Onsager, the entropy production rate is given as

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = JX, \quad (4)$$

where T is the temperature, dS/dt is the rate of entropy production, V is volume of the test sample, and J and X are the conjugated flux and driving force, respectively.

In general, the entropy production is a sum of all products of driving forces and corresponding fluxes. In this paper, we try to consider different terms one by one, under appropriate external conditions. There are atomic flux, heat flux, and charge flux in irreversible processes. In electromigration, which is a cross-effect between charge flux and atomic flux, J has been given by Eq. (2), and $X = Z^* e E = Z^* e p j$, where $E = -d\phi/dx = \rho j$ is the electric field.

Now, we consider entropy production during electromigration. We treat MTTF as the time to accumulate some threshold entropy, $S_{threshold}$. At that time, we take into account that the entropy produced by electron flow (Joule heating) is evacuated by the heat out-flux. Thus, we may assume that the accumulation of entropy proceeds via electromigration of atoms. We exclude entropy production by Joule heating, from the entropy balance. A detailed analysis of entropy production will be given in Sec. III. So far, let us exclude also thermomigration and stress-migration. The total entropy production until failure is

$$J_e X_e t^{failure} = TS_{threshold} / V, \quad (5)$$

$$MTTF \approx t^{failure} = \frac{TS_{threshold}}{V J_e X_e} = A' \frac{1}{j^2} \frac{1}{D} = A j^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{kT}\right), \quad (6)$$

which is Black's equation, and it means that $n = 2$ is justified. We use Wilbull's distribution to obtain the MTTF where 50% failure occurs.

On the other hand, if we consider Joule heating in electrical conduction, it is

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = j \left[-\frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right] = jE = j^2 \rho, \quad (7)$$

where $j^2\rho$ is “Joule heating” per unit volume per unit time. Its unit is energy/cm³s.

In Eq. (4), we note that entropy production cannot be in a steady state. While the applied current can be steady or the rate of charges being transported is constant, the entropy production increases, so the temperature without heat dissipation will rise. To reach a steady state, the system needs an outgoing heat flux of $J_Q = j^2\rho$, which simultaneously will be accompanied by the outgoing entropy fluxes of J_Q/T .

Usually, the power of Joule heating is written as

$$P = I^2R = j^2\rho V, \quad (8)$$

where I is the applied current and $I/A = j$, where A is the cross-sectional area of the sample, R is the resistance of the sample, and $R = \rho A/l$, where l is the length of the sample, so the volume of sample $V = Al$. Thus, I^2R is Joule heating per unit time (power = energy/time) of the entire sample, and $j^2\rho$ is Joule heating per unit volume per unit time of the sample. What is shown in the above is that j^2 is intrinsic in entropy production in electrical conduction as well as in electromigration.

To make a comparison of entropy production between electrical conduction and electromigration, we consider an Al interconnect. If we take $j = 10^6$ A/cm² and $\rho = 10^{-6}$ Ω cm for Al, the Joule heating in electrical conduction is

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = jE = \rho j^2 = 10^6 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{ s}}.$$

For electromigration in the Al interconnect, we have

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = JX = \left(C \frac{D}{kT} F \right) F = C \frac{D}{kT} (Z^* e j)^2. \quad (9)$$

We take $C = 10^{23}$ /cm³, $T = 400$ K, $D = 10^{-16}$ cm²/s, $Z^*e = 10^{-18}$ C, $\rho = 10^{-6}$ Ω cm, and $j = 10^6$ A/cm². Substituting these data into Eq. (9), we obtain

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = \frac{10^{-29} \text{ J}^2}{(0.033 \text{ eV}) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}} = 2 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{ s}}$$

where $kT = 0.033$ eV at $T = 400$ K, and 1 joule = 6.24×10^{18} eV. Clearly, the Joule heating due to electrical conduction is much larger.

B. MTF for thermomigration

Similar to the above, the major entropy production in thermomigration is due to the heat propagation under a temperature gradient,²¹

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = \left(-\kappa \frac{dT}{dx} \right) \left(-\frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dx} \right). \quad (10)$$

If we take heat conduction in solder as $\kappa \approx 50$ J/msK, $dT/dx = 1000$ K/cm, and $T = 400$ K, we obtain $TdS/Vdt = 1.2 \times 10^9$ J/m³s.

On thermomigration, we have

$$\frac{TdS}{Vdt} = \left(C \frac{D}{kT} X_h \right) X_h = C \frac{D}{kT} \left(3k \frac{dT}{dx} \right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where we have roughly taken the conjugated driving force $X_h = 3k(dT/dx)$, where k is Boltzmann’s constant and $3kT$ is the local thermal energy per atom, and by taking $dT/dx = 1000$ K/cm, we obtain $TdS/Vdt = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ J/m³s, which is much smaller than that due to heat conduction. To calculate the MTF of thermomigration, we take the atomic flux in thermomigration to be

$$J_h = C \frac{D}{kT} \frac{Q^*}{T} \left(-\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right), \quad (12)$$

where Q^* is defined as the heat of transport in thermomigration and Q^* has the same dimension as μ , so it is the heat energy per atom. The conjugated driving force is

$$X_h = \frac{Q^*}{T} \left(-\frac{dT}{dx} \right). \quad (13)$$

Thus, we have $J_h X_h t^{failure} = TS_{threshold}/V$

$$MTTF \approx t^{failure} = \frac{TS_{threshold}}{V J_h X_h} = B \left(-\frac{dT}{dx} \right)^{-2} \exp \left(\frac{E_a}{kT} \right), \quad (14)$$

where B is a constant.

C. MTF for stress-migration

Conceptually, there is a fundamental difference between stress-migration and electromigration or thermomigration. The latter are cross-effects on the basis of irreversible processes. This is because the atomic flow in electromigration or thermomigration is accompanied by electron flow or heat flow, respectively. Yet, there is no “stress flow” accompanying the atomic flow in stress-migration, especially if we assume elastic stress. Stress-migration is the primary flow of atoms, driven by stress potential gradient, which is a chemical potential gradient. Often, stress-migration is called a steady state diffusional creep.^{22,23}

Stress potential is defined as $\sigma\Omega$, where σ is the stress and Ω is the atomic volume. Thus, the driving force of stress-migration is given as

$$X_s = \frac{d\sigma\Omega}{dx}, \quad (15)$$

and the atomic flux in stress-migration is given as

$$J_s = CMF = C \frac{D}{kT} \left(\frac{d\sigma\Omega}{dx} \right) = \frac{D}{kT} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \right). \quad (16)$$

We have $J_s X_s t^{failure} = TS_{threshold}/V$

$$MTTF \approx t^{failure} = \frac{TS_{threshold}}{V J_s X_s} = G \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \right)^{-2} \exp \left(\frac{E_a}{kT} \right), \quad (17)$$

where G is a constant.

III. DISCUSSION

A. A comparison of driving forces among electromigration, thermomigration, and stress-migration

Here, we make a comparison among the driving forces of electromigration, thermomigration, and stress-migration in solder.

Specially, the growth of Sn whisker under a compressive stress is used to evaluate stress-migration because synchrotron radiation measurement has been done.

We first consider electromigration. It occurs in Sn-based solder joints when the applied current density is above 10^4 A/cm^2 or 10^8 A/m^2 at temperatures above 100°C . The driving force is $X_e = Z^*eE = Z^*epj$. For calculation, we take $Z^* = 10$, $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$, $\rho = 10 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{ m}$, and $j = 1 \times 10^8 \text{ A/m}^2$, we obtain $F = 1.6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ N}$. The work done by an atomic jump distance driven by this force will be

$$\Delta w = X_e x a = (1.6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ N})(3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}) = 4.8 \times 10^{-27} \text{ N m} = 4.8 \times 10^{-27} \text{ J}.$$

Next, we consider thermomigration in the solder joint under a temperature gradient of 1000°C/cm near 100°C . Across an atom of diameter of $3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$, the ΔT due to the temperature gradient

of 1000°C/cm is about $3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}$. The change of thermal energy across an atom is

$$3k\Delta T = 3 \times 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K} \times 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K} = 1.3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ J},$$

which is of the same order of magnitude as the work done under electromigration given in the above.

Finally, we consider stress-migration by calculating the driving force of Sn whisker growth. The driving force $X_S = -d\sigma\Omega/dx$, where σ is the normal stress and Ω is the atomic volume. The stress distribution around the root of a Sn whisker has been measured by x-ray diffraction using synchrotron radiation, as shown in Table I in Ref. 23. We estimate the stress gradient between the origin ($x = 0, y = 0$) and the lower left corner point at ($x = -0.5400, y = 0.8475$), where $\Delta x \approx 10 \mu\text{m}$ and $\Delta\sigma \approx 4 \text{ MPa}$, and we take the atomic volume of Sn atom to be $27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3$. The force is given as

$$X_S = -\frac{\Delta\sigma\Omega}{\Delta x} = \frac{4 \times 10^6 \text{ N/m}^2 \times 27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}} = \frac{4 \times 10^7 \text{ dyn/cm}^2 \times 27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}} = \frac{108 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg}}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}} \approx 10^{-12} \text{ erg/cm}.$$

The work done by this force over a distance of atomic diameter of 0.3 nm is $3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ J}$, which is of the same order of magnitude of those calculated in the above for electromigration and thermomigration. It is worth mentioning that in Ref. 23, while the stress distribution was measured, no calculation of the driving force was given at that time because of no comparison!

The above calculations show that the conjugated forces of electromigration, thermomigration, and stress-migration in Sn or Sn-rich solder are nearly the same. This provides the justification of the following analysis of MTTF of three of them by equating their driving forces.

$$Z^*epj = 3k\frac{dT}{dx} = -\frac{d\sigma\Omega}{dx}.$$

Thus, we have

$$j = \frac{3k}{Z^*ep} \frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{-1}{Z^*ep} \frac{d\sigma\Omega}{dx}.$$

Then, by substituting j^{-2} into the original Black' equation, we obtain MTTF for thermomigration and stress-migration below. For thermomigration, we obtain

$$MTTF_h = A \left(\frac{3k}{Z^*ep} \frac{dT}{dx} \right)^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{kT}\right). \quad (18)$$

And for stress-migration, we obtain

$$MTTF_S = A \left(\frac{1}{Z^*ep} \frac{d\sigma\Omega}{dx} \right)^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{kT}\right). \quad (19)$$

B. Link between entropy production and microstructure failure

It is important to provide a receipt for predicting the threshold entropy corresponding to failure. In general, it is a very complicated problem because failure has various mechanisms (modes). In this paper, we limit ourselves with the case of voiding formation at the cathode end in electromigration, as a mechanism of failure.

To nucleate and grow the void, the cathode end should have accumulated a sufficient amount of nonequilibrium vacancies. If the supersaturation by vacancies is not large, the accumulation of extra vacancies of N_V increases entropy by $N_V^*E_V/T$, where E_V is the formation energy of a vacancy. Then, equilibrium of vacancy subsystem means zero derivative of Gibbs free energy,

$$\frac{d}{dN_V}(N_V H_V - TS(N_V)) = 0 = H_V - T \frac{dS}{dN_V} \Rightarrow \frac{dS}{dN_V} = \frac{H_V}{T}. \quad (20)$$

Thus, the adding of one vacancy to the almost equilibrium system means the adding of additional entropy equal to enthalpy of vacancy formation divided by temperature. In usual conditions of small pressures (typically less than gigapascal), the vacancy formation enthalpy is close to vacancy formation energy so that

$$\Delta S \approx \Delta N_V^{extra} \frac{E_V}{T}. \quad (21)$$

Sooner or later, these extra vacancies will unite into the void or crack stopping the current and leading to failure. To stop the current completely, the void should have cross-section A of the interconnect and at the least the thickness of $\delta \approx 0.2 \text{ nm}$. Then the threshold

entropy may be very roughly approximated as

$$S^{\text{threshold}} \approx \frac{A \cdot \delta E_V}{\Omega T}. \quad (22)$$

The rate of entropy production is

$$T \frac{dS}{V dt} = \frac{CD}{kT} (Zepj)^2 \approx \frac{10^{29} \left(\frac{1}{\text{m}^3}\right) 10^{-20} \left(\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}}\right)}{0.4 \cdot 1.38 \cdot 10^{-20} \text{ J}} \\ \left(10^{-18} (\text{C}) \cdot 10^{-8} (\Omega \text{m m}) \cdot 10^{10} \left(\frac{\text{A}}{\text{m}^2}\right)\right)^2 \approx 1.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^3 \text{s}}$$

Then, we may evaluate the MTTF from Eq. (6) as

$$\left(\frac{CD}{kT} (Zepj)^2\right) \cdot A \cdot l \cdot \text{MTTF} \approx TS^{\text{threshold}} = T \frac{A \cdot \delta E_V}{\Omega T} = \frac{A \cdot \delta E_V}{\Omega}, \\ \text{MTTF} = \frac{\delta E_V}{\Omega \left[\frac{CD}{kT} (Zepj)^2\right]} \approx \frac{2 \times 10^{-10} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{10^{-29} \times 10^{-5} \times 1.8 \times 10^{-3}} \\ = 1.9 \times 10^8 \text{ s} \approx 6 \text{ years}.$$

Here $A \cdot l$ is the volume of the line and l is the length of the line, which we take it to be about $10 \mu\text{m}$. No doubt, the accumulation of N_V extra vacancies will increase entropy by $N_V \delta E_V / T$, but the gathering of these vacancies into void or going out from the crystal will decrease entropy by the same magnitude.

Thus, the accumulation of nonequilibrium vacancies indeed is related to entropy production, but their transformation into a void means a drop of entropy after increase.

According to Gleixner *et al.*,²⁴ voids may nucleate not due to the accumulation of vacancies but due to mechanical stress. But it makes prediction not of failure but of void nucleation at the interfaces. For failure, the nuclei of the void should develop and grow and/or migrate, and for this, void needs supply of vacancies. Moreover, as shown in Refs. 25–27, failure can be the result of the electromigration of previously formed interface nanovoids along the interface metal/dielectric and their accumulation at the cathode end. It proves that the transport of empty space is at least one of the main reasons of failure.

IV. SUMMARY

Black's equation of mean-time-to-failure (MTTF) for electromigration has been revisited from the viewpoint based on entropy production in irreversible processes. We have justified that the power factor on current density is $n = 2$, which has been a controversial issue for a long time. Furthermore, on the basis of entropy production, we provide a unified model of MTTF for thermomigration and stress-migration.

In general, the input from all sources of entropy production should be taken into account simultaneously—each of such inputs can lead to earlier arriving of the entropy threshold and decreasing of MTTF. Decreasing of MTTF due to thermal gradients was

experimentally found in Ref. 28. We use accumulated entropy as a parameter of failure of the device, and in turn as the link between MTTF and threshold entropy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the “Center for the Semiconductor Technology Research” from The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan. This work was also supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, under Grant No. MOST-108-3017-F-009-003.

REFERENCES

- C. Chen, D. Yu, and K.-N. Chen, “Vertical interconnects of microbumps in 3D integration,” *MRS Bull.* **40**, 257–263 (2015).
- S. Q. Gu, “Material innovation opportunities for 3D integrated circuits from a wireless application point of view,” *MRS Bull.* **40**, 223–244 (2015).
- S. Iyer, “Three-dimensional integration: An industry perspective,” *MRS Bull.* **40**, 225–232 (2015).
- Y. Liu, M. Li, D. W. Kim, S. Gu, and K. N. Tu, “Synergistic effect of electromigration and Joule heating on system level weak-link failure in 2.5D integrated circuits,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **118**, 135304 (2015).
- K. N. Tu, Y. Liu, and M. Li, “Effect of Joule heating and current crowding on electromigration in mobile technology,” *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **4**, 011101 (2017).
- I. A. Blech and C. Herring, “Stress generation by electromigration,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **29**, 131–133 (1976).
- H. Ye, C. Basaran, and D. Hopkins, “Thermomigration in Pb-Sn solder joints under Joule heating during electric current stressing,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **82**, 1045 (2003).
- M. Li, D. W. Kim, S. Gu, and K. N. Tu, “Joule heating induced thermomigration failure in un-powered microbumps due to thermal crosstalk in 2.5D IC technology,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **120**, 075105 (2016).
- J. R. Black, “Electromigration—A brief survey and some recent results,” *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **16**, 338–347 (1969).
- R. L. de Orto, H. Ceric, and S. Selberherr, “Physically based model of electromigration: From Black' equation to modern TCAD models,” *Microelectron. Reliab.* **50**, 775–789 (2010).
- M. Shatzkes and J. Lloyd, “A model for conductor failure considering diffusion concurrently with electromigration resulting in a current exponent of 2,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **59**, 3890–3893 (1986).
- R. Kirchheim and U. Kaeber, “Atomistic and computer modeling of metallization failure of integrated circuits by electromigration,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **70**, 172–181 (1991).
- M. A. Korhonen, P. Borgesen, K. N. Tu, and C.-Y. Li, “Stress evolution due to electromigration in confined metal lines,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **73**, 3790–3799 (1993).
- J. J. Clement and C. V. Thompson, “Modeling electromigration induced stress evolution in confined metal lines,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **78**, 900–904 (1995).
- V. Sukharev, E. Zschech, and W. R. Nix, “A model for electromigration-induced degradation mechanism in dual-inlaid copper interconnects,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **102**, 053505 (2007).
- J. R. Lloyd, “Black's law revisited—Nucleation and growth in electromigration failure,” *Microelectron. Reliab.* **47**, 1468–72 (2007).
- H. B. Huntington and A. R. Grone, “Current induced marker motion in gold wires,” *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **20**, 76 (1961).
- I. Prigogine, *Introduction to Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes*, 3rd ed. (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1967).

- ¹⁹K. N. Tu, "Irreversible processes in interconnect and packaging technology," in *Electronic Thin-Film Reliability*, edited by K. N. Tu (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011), Chap. 10, pp. 212–236.
- ²⁰C. Basaran and S. H. Nie, "An irreversible thermodynamics theory for damage mechanics of solids," *Int. J. Damage Mech.* **13**, 205–223 (2004).
- ²¹F.-Y. Ouyang, K. N. Tu, Y.-S. Lai, and A. M. Gusak, "Effect of entropy production on microstructure change in eutectic SnPb flip chip solder joints by thermomigration," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 221906 (2006).
- ²²G. T. T. Sheng, C. F. Hu, W. J. Choi, K. N. Tu, Y. Y. Bong, and L. Nguyen, "Tin whiskers studied by focused ion beam imaging and transmission electron microscopy," *J. Appl. Phys.* **92**, 64 (2002).
- ²³W. J. Choi, T. Y. Lee, K. N. Tu, N. Tamura, R. S. Celestre, A. A. MacDowell, Y. Y. Bong, and L. Nguyen, "Tin whisker studied by synchrotron radiation micro-diffraction," *Acta Mater.* **51**, 6253–6261 (2003).
- ²⁴R. J. Gleixner, B. M. Clemens, and W. D. Nix, "Void nucleation in passivated interconnect lines: Effects of site geometries, interfaces, and interface flaws," *J. Mater. Res.* **12**, 2081–2090 (1997).
- ²⁵D. R. Fridline and A. F. Bower, "Influence of anisotropic surface diffusion on electromigration induced void migration and evolution," *J. Appl. Phys.* **85**, 3168 (1999).
- ²⁶A. V. Vairagar *et al.*, "In situ observation of electromigration-induced void migration in dual-damascene Cu interconnect structures," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**, 2502 (2004).
- ²⁷T. V. Zaporozhets *et al.*, "Three-dimensional simulation of void migration at the interface between thin metallic film and dielectric under electromigration," *J. Appl. Phys.* **98**, 103508 (2005).
- ²⁸H. V. Nguyen, C. Salm, B. Krabbenborg, K. Weide-Zaage, J. Bisschop, A. J. Mouthaan, and F. G. Kuper, "Effect of thermal gradients on the electromigration life-time in power electronics," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium (IEEE, 2004)*, pp. 619–620.